

KKR appears successful suitor for Storer



Kizer

Despite higher per-share offer from Comcast, Coniston directors join in unanimous approval of proposal from Kohlberg Kravis Roberts

At the moment of truth last Monday in Miami, only seven of Storer Communications' nine directors voted on the competing offers from Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. and Comcast Corp. With Chairman Peter Storer and President Terry Lee both abstaining because of their interest in the KKR leveraged buyout proposal, the majority of the vote rested with four directors who had been elected only three months earlier in a hostile proxy contest, under the banner of "The Committee for Full Value of Storer Communications." But those four, along with three incumbent directors, unanimously chose the KKR offer, although it was at least \$50 million less than Comcast's bid.

After meetings with Storer's lawyers and investment bankers in New York, both KKR and Comcast were told to make their final bids on Monday morning. Beginning at 10 a.m., Comcast Chairman Ralph Roberts and Chief Financial Officer Julian Brodsky made an hour-long presentation to the Storer board, after which KKR's Henry Kravis presented his revised offer.

The final Comcast bid offered each Storer shareholder \$83.50 in cash, an increase of \$1.50 over the initial cash component. Eliminated from the initial offer (BROADCASTING, July 22) were warrants to buy stock in the surviving Storer, which was to be made a subsidiary of Comcast. Also, the preferred stock being offered in that subsidiary was reduced from the initial 1.2 shares to .35 shares, with some additional compensation.

In place of the warrants and loss of preferred, the new Comcast offer substituted a \$35, face value, zero coupon note. The restructuring raised the total per-share value of the original offer by \$2, to \$98, according to Comcast.

By reducing the equity Storer shareholders would have in the surviving company, Comcast's revised offer also attempted to eliminate the chance that the Internal Revenue Service would judge the sale a "reorganization" of Storer. If the IRS said it was a reorganization, as opposed to a liquidation, the \$83.50 cash to be given shareholders could be taxed as dividends and ordinary income rather than at the much lower capital gains rate.

For apparently the same reason, KKR also eliminated the preference stock in its offer and responded instead with a \$16 increase in the cash component to \$91. Still remaining in its offer was a warrant to buy stock in Storer, if an offering were ever made.

The Storer board reviewed the two proposals at its Monday afternoon meeting. Some directors were said to have feared that Comcast, with some preferred stock remaining in its offer, had not eliminated a potential

IRS problem. Another consequence of an unfavorable IRS ruling would be that the gain from Comcast's sale of Storer's seven television stations might be taxed at a high rate. "Though none of the lawyers were saying that we would have a disaster, no one was saying that we would not," according to Abiah A. Church, Storer's general counsel and secretary, who talked about some of the other reasons the seven directors rejected the Comcast offer.

Church said that Comcast had indicated Peter Storer would be chairman of the Storer subsidiary of Comcast and that all existing contracts with management would be honored. But there was some concern that middle managers without contracts would feel their positions threatened: "We are talking about a five- or six-month period before closing [of the Comcast offer] when people might be leaving." By contrast, Church said KKR is not an operating company and would leave Storer's management intact.

Similarly, franchising authorities would be less likely to scrutinize the KKR transaction because Storer would "remain intact both management and as a corporate entity the general counsel and secretary said.

Comcast had indicated it could close by Nov. 11, but Church said it was generally felt by the board that the KKR/Drexel Burnham team was more likely to complete its financing without hitches: "That is just a judgment call based on the track records of the parties in doing these kind of deals," said Church. Drexel Burnham is a premiere investment banking firm specializing in placing the kind of high-yield, low-grade bonds both sides were proposing to use.

On late Monday afternoon the conclusion the seven directors reached, according to Church, was that "there was much less risk involved in the KKR deal all around and the extra dollar or two that might be in it [the Comcast offer] might disappear." As another observer of the proceedings said, the KKR offer had "the highest chance of putting millions of dollars in the pockets of stockholders."

In accepting KKR's bid, the Storer directors also accepted some new clauses that would make difficult and unlikely another challenge to the four-month-old leverage buyout proposal. If turned aside because of a higher offer, KKR now has the option to purchase either three of Storer's TV stations for \$635 million, or roughly half the cable systems for \$897 million. In addition to these "lock-up" agreements the new agreement has a "poison pill" provision that would enable Storer to issue an additional 3.5 million shares to KKR at \$90 per share. That would add to any would-be suitor's cost the difference between its offer and the \$90 per share KKR paid to Storer. Finally, the agreement is still in the agreement the \$1-per-share cancellation fee (multiplied by 21.2 million shares) KKR would receive should its offer be rejected in favor of a higher bid.

With the decision seeming all but perm

company made a tender offer for up to 40,000 shares at \$250 per share in December. It received 31,548 shares.

By then attention was increasingly focused on the company, and stories covering the interest were appearing in both Detroit newspapers. Clark said at the annual shareholders meeting in February that the company was considering going public in five years but first wanted to improve the Detroit newspaper situation and expand the company through acquisitions.

Some shareholders wanted a more immediate response to the rising value of media companies and a few months later formed the "Stockholders Equity Evaluation Committee," which reportedly now comprises over 20% of the outstanding shares. The largest ENA shareholder, Cranbrook Academy of Art, with 6%, hired the New York-based investment banking firm, Donaldson Lufkin & Jenrette, to evaluate the stock.

The company itself announced, just 10 days before L.P. proposed the tender offer, that it had "retained the services of Salomon Brothers . . . to counter proposals by some minority shareholders to seek immediate liquidity," among other purposes. In fact, ENA had been using Salomon Brothers for some time before the announcement.

Last week's tender offer for ENA came two weeks after Jack Kent Cooke ended his hostile tender offer for Multimedia by selling the 9.7% of Multimedia he already owned back to the company for a \$25-million profit. There are differences in the L.P. offer for ENA. Although L.P. said Perenchio began prospecting ENA back in January, he chose not to buy any of its stock. The board of Multimedia was able to obtain written "no sell" agreements from the 40 or so family members controlling almost half the stock. There is currently no such agreement among the at least 150 Scripps descendants.

The nine members on the board of ENA, seven of whom are related, met last Friday for a regularly scheduled meeting. Although no announcements were forthcoming, or had been expected, the company is required by securities law to give an official evaluation of the L.P. bid by this Friday. Although the initial response was that the company wasn't for sale at any price, it was widely expected that both L.P. and ENA were going to have to look for new solutions. □